Interview Summary	10/688,574	JHINGAN, NITIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SUSAN Y. CHEN	2161	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>SUSAN Y. CHEN</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>John Sensny</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>15 July 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,7 and 13</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>AAPA</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.			
reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative briefly discussed the features in a proposed amendment and authorized the examiner to make an examiner amendment to fix some semantic changes in the claims based on the proposed amendment. The examiner indicated that she will make further searching of the prior art and fix the semantic problems with proper changes in view of the proposed amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red	

Application No.

Applicant(s)